



Notice of Service of Process

AZH / ALL
Transmittal Number: 19393473
Date Processed: 02/21/2019

Primary Contact: Tonya Edwards
Capital One Services
15000 Capital One Drive
Richmond, VA 23238

Entity:	Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. Entity ID Number 1634828
Entity Served:	Capital One Bank (USA), N.A.
Title of Action:	Damion Galloway vs. Capital One Bank (USA), N.A.
Document(s) Type:	Summons/Complaint
Nature of Action:	Violation of State/Federal Act
Court/Agency:	Wright County District Court, MN
Case/Reference No:	Not Shown
Jurisdiction Served:	Virginia
Date Served on CSC:	02/20/2019
Answer or Appearance Due:	20 Days
Originally Served On:	CSC
How Served:	Personal Service
Sender Information:	Bennett Hartz 612-824-4357

Information contained on this transmittal form is for record keeping, notification and forwarding the attached document(s). It does not constitute a legal opinion. The recipient is responsible for interpreting the documents and taking appropriate action.

To avoid potential delay, please do not send your response to CSC

251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, Delaware 19808-1674 (888) 690-2882 | sop@cscglobal.com

EXHIBIT 1

Walker & Walker Law Offices, PLLC

Attorneys

Curtis K. Walker
Andrew C. Walker
Bennett Hartz
Ethan J. Mustonen
Paul H. Weig
Maren Bardal

4356 Nicollet Avenue South
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55409
Phone: (612) 824-4357
Fax: (612) 824-8005
www.bankruptcytruth.com

Paralegals

Jennifer Johnson, Lead
Darcee McKinnon
Meara White
Jennifer Nelson
Lisa Falk
Leanda Andrade
Stephanie Kreimer

February 18, 2019

Capital One Bank (USA), N.A.

4851 Cox Road
Glen Allen, VA 23060

Re: Summons & Complaint
Damion Galloway v. Capital One Bank (USA), N.A.

To whom it concerns,

Please find attached and served upon you Plaintiff Damion Galloway's Summons & Complaint for his action against Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. for violating the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (612) 824-4357.

Sincerely,



Bennett Hartz

Enclosures

STATE OF MINNESOTA

DISTRICT COURT

COUNTY OF WRIGHT

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Damion Galloway,

Plaintiff,

v.

Capital One Bank (USA), N.A.,

Defendant.

SUMMONS

Court File No. _____

THIS SUMMONS IS DIRECTED TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT(S)

1. **YOU ARE BEING SUED.** The Plaintiff has started a lawsuit against you. The Plaintiff's Complaint against you is attached to this summons. Do not throw these papers away. They are official papers that affect your rights. You must respond to this lawsuit even though it may not yet be filed with the Court and there may be no court file number on this summons.

2. **YOU MUST REPLY WITHIN 20 DAYS TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.**
You must give or mail to the person who signed this summons a written response called an Answer within 20 days of the date on which you received this Summons. You must send a copy of your Answer to the person who signed this summons located at:

WALKER & WALKER LAW OFFICES, PLLC
ATTN: Bennett Hartz
4356 Nicollet Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55409

3. **YOU MUST RESPOND TO EACH CLAIM.** The Answer is your written response to the Plaintiff's Complaint. In your Answer you must state whether you

agree or disagree with each paragraph of the Complaint. If you believe the Plaintiff should not be given everything asked for in the Complaint, you must say so in your Answer.

4. **YOU WILL LOSE YOUR CASE IF YOU DO NOT SEND A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE COMPLAINT TO THE PERSON WHO SIGNED THIS SUMMONS.** If you do not Answer within 20 days, you will lose this case. You will not get to tell your side of the story, and the Court may decide against you and award the Plaintiff everything asked for in the complaint. If you do not want to contest the claims stated in the complaint, you do not need to respond. A default judgment can then be entered against you for the relief requested in the complaint.
5. **LEGAL ASSISTANCE.** You may wish to get legal help from a lawyer. If you do not have a lawyer, the Court Administrator may have information about places where you can get legal assistance. Even if you cannot get legal help, you must still provide a written Answer to protect your rights or you may lose the case.
6. **ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION.** The parties may agree to or be ordered to participate in an alternative dispute resolution process under Rule 114 of the Minnesota General Rules of Practice. You must still send your written response to the Complaint even if you expect to use alternative means of resolving this dispute.

Date: February 18, 2019

/s/ Bennett Hartz

Andrew C. Walker #392525

Bennett Hartz #393136

Walker & Walker Law Offices, PLLC

4356 Nicollet Avenue South

Minneapolis, MN 55409

(612) 824-4357

Attorneys for Damion Galloway

STATE OF MINNESOTA

DISTRICT COURT

COUNTY OF WRIGHT

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Damion Galloway,

Plaintiff,

v.

Capital One Bank (USA), N.A.,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Court File No. _____

1. Plaintiff Damion Galloway pleads the following claims based on violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA), 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*, by Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. (“Capital One”).

VENUE, PARTIES, AND JURY TRIAL

2. Galloway demands a jury trial to the extent available under US Const. Amend. 7.
3. Venue is proper because Capital One regularly conducts business in Wright County, and because the claims at issue in this case occurred in and harmed a person living there.
4. Galloway is a natural person residing in Wright County.
5. Capital One is national association that regularly conducts business in Minnesota and Wright County specifically.

THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

6. As more Americans began carrying cellular telephones in the 1990s, Congress sought to limit the potential for constant harassment posed by telemarketers and “automatic telephone dialing systems”—commonly called “robodialers.”

7. The TCPA was enacted to this end. Among other abusive actions, the TCPA prohibits anyone from calling a cell phone using a robodialer, an automated voice, or a pre-recorded message.
8. Despite the protections afforded by the TCPA, industry practices remain relatively unchanged. Robodialing continues to increase dramatically, and robodialing is estimated to now comprise *half* of all cell phone calls in the United States. See Brian Fung, "Report: Americans got 26.3 billion robocalls last year, up 46 percent from 2017." Wall Street Journal, January 29, 2019.

FACTS

9. Capital One is attempting to collect a debt from Galloway.
10. In an attempt to collect this debt, Capital One routinely calls Galloway on his cell phone.
11. Capital One places these calls to Galloway using a robodialer, an artificial voice, or both.
12. When answering these robodialed calls, Galloway immediately heard either a recorded, automated voice or a long pause of dead air. This pause indicates Capital One's robodialer calling Galloway, and then connecting to a live operator at Capital One within a few seconds of answering.
13. These factors, paired with the frequency of the calls, strongly suggest that Capital One was calling Galloway's cell phone using a robodialer.
14. Capital One did not have Galloway's consent to use these electronic means to call his cell phone.

15. Galloway also explicitly revoked any consent to be called on his cell phone during a phone call with Capital One on or about April 5, 2018.
16. Despite this explicit revocation, Capital One continued to robodial Galloway's cell phone.
17. Following his revocation, Capital One placed robodialed collection calls to Galloway on at least (but not limited to) seven subsequent occasions.
18. Capital One disregarded his revocation of consent and willfully continued to contact Galloway with impunity using a prohibited automatic telephone dialing system.

COUNT I: TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

19. Galloway incorporates all other allegations as if set forth herein in full.
20. The TCPA bans using robodialers and artificial voices to call cell phones absent the consumer's explicit consent:

It shall be unlawful for any person within the United States . . . to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice . . . to any telephone number assigned to a paging service, cellular telephone service, specialized mobile radio service, or other radio common carrier service, or any service for which the called party is charged for the call" 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

21. Capital One violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1) by knowingly calling Galloway at least seven times on his cell phone using an automatic dialing system and/or an artificial, pre-recorded voice without Galloway's consent.
22. Capital One also ignored Galloway's explicit revocation of consent.
23. Capital One thus willfully and knowingly violated § 227(b)(1).

24. Galloway was stressed and harassed by the frequency of Capital One's calls to his cell phone, and by his inability to stop these calls, as is his right by statute.

25. The TCPA provides the following remedy for its violation:

"A person or entity may, if otherwise permitted by the law or rules of court of a State, bring in an appropriate court of that State (A) an action based on a violation of this subsection . . . to enjoin such violation, (B) an action to recover for actual monetary loss from such a violation, or to receive \$500 in damages for each such violation, whichever is greater, or (C) both such actions. If the court finds that the defendant willfully or knowingly violated this subsection or the regulations prescribed under this subsection, the court may, in its discretion, increase the amount of the award to an amount equal to not more than 3 times the amount available under subparagraph (B) of this paragraph." 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

26. Galloway is entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial or statutory damages of \$1,500 per each of Capital One's telephone calls violating the TCPA, whichever is greater, under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

RELIEF REQUESTED

Galloway requests an Order for the following relief:

1. Judgment in favor of Damion Galloway and against Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. for actual damages, or for statutory damages of \$1,500 per each telephone call violating the TCPA, whichever is greater. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).
2. Interest accruing from commencement of this action at 4% for awards up to \$50,000.00, or at 10% for awards over \$50,000.00, under Minn. Stat. § 549.09.
3. All other relief the Court deems just and equitable.

Date: February 18, 2019

/s/ Bennett Hartz

Andrew C. Walker #392525

Bennett Hartz #393136

Walker & Walker Law Offices, PLLC

4356 Nicollet Avenue South

Minneapolis, MN 55409

Attorneys for Damion Galloway

Acknowledgement

Plaintiff, by counsel, acknowledges that Minn. Stat. § 549.211 sanctions can be imposed.

Date: February 18, 2019

/s/ *Bennett Hartz*

Bennett Hartz #393136